

Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient 55 (2012) 603-636



A Photograph of Four Orientalists (Bombay, 1885): Knowledge Production, Religious Identities, and the Negotiation of Invisible Conflicts

Filipa Lowndes Vicente*

Abstract

By analyzing the history of a photograph taken in a Bombay photo studio in 1885, this article explores notions of the production of knowledge on India and cultural dialogues, encounters, appropriations, and conflicts in colonial B ritish India in the late nineteenth century. Åe photograph was taken after a H indu religious ceremony in honour of the Italian Sanskritist Angelo de Gubernatis. Dressed as a Hindu Brahman, he is the only European photographed next to three Indian scholars, but what the image suggests of encounter and hybridity was challenged by the many written texts that eveal the conflicting dialogues that took place before and after the por trait was taken. Several factors were examined in order to decide who should and who should not be in the photograph: r eligion, cast, and even gender were successively discussed, before the category of "knowledge" became the bond that unified the four men who studied, taught, and wrote on India.

En analysant l'histoire d'une photographie prise dans un studio photographique de Bombay en 1885, cet article explore les notions de production du savoir sur l'Inde, de dialogues, rencontres, appropriations et conflits culturels dans le contexte de l'Inde coloniale britannique de la fin du XIX siècle. La photographie fut prise à l'issue d'une cérémonie religieuse hindoue en l'honneur du sanskritiste italien Angelo de G ubernatis. Habillé en brahmane hindou, il est le seul E uropéen photographié aux côtés de tr ois érudits indiens. L'idée de rencontre et d'hybridité suggérée par l'image est toutefois remise en cause par les nombreux textes écrits révélant les dialogues conflictuels qui eurent lieu avant et après la réalisation du portrait. Un certain nombre de facteurs furent examinés pour décider qui devrait et qui ne devrait pas figurer sur la photographie: la religion, la caste et même le sexe furent successivement discutés avant que la catégorie du « savoir » s'impose comme le lien unificateur des quatre hommes qui étudiaient, enseignaient et écrivaient sur l'Inde.

Keywords

colonial knowledge, Orientalism, colonial photography, Indian studies, archives

DOI: 10.1163/15685209-12341247

^{*)} Filipa Lowndes Vicente, Institute of Social Sciences, University of Lisbon (ICS-UL), filipa.vicente@ics.ul.pt.

In October 1885, four men got together in a Bombay studio to hav e a photograph taken of them dressed as Hindu Brahmans.¹ Āe image itself was made to document and celebrate the event that had just taken place in the studio: the Italian professor of Sanskrit Angelo de Gubernatis, the only non-Indian of the four, was the object of a H indu purification ceremony performed by the man portrayed behind him, the illustrious Pandit Bhagwanlal. Āe main aim of his I ndian journey was to gather Hindu religious objects, visit temples, and meet those in charge of the sacr ed spaces. Āis Hindu ceremony, the name of which he did not mention, wor him mainly as a symbolic transformation process. He wanted to legitimize his contact with sacr ed India, his principal inter est. To be the object of such an honour and to appear in a photograph next to three e recognized Indian scholars—José Gerson da Cunha, Shyamaji Krishnavarma, and Bhagwanlal—naturally reinforced Gubernatis's status as the main I talian specialist on India. Interested in increasing the resonance of the event, he made the photograph and the many written descriptions of the ceremony available to various media and publics in India and in Italy.

Ār ough the analysis of the history of a specific photograph, this article will explore various types of cultural dialogues and encounters in the contexts of colonial B ritish India and Italian orientalism during the second half of the nineteenth century. It also confronts us with two geographical spaces that are somewhat outside the scope of most studies on knowledge production in colonial contexts—F lorence and Bombay.² Āe city of Florence and the nation of Italy, which lay outside the world of colonialism until the late nineteenth century, appear as surprisingly rich locales for

¹⁾ Angelo de Gubernatis (1840 Turin, 1913 Rome) was educated in Berlin, where he studied philology and Sanskrit with Franz Bopp and Albrecht Weber. On his return to Italy, he was appointed professor of Sanskrit at the University of Florence, where he remained until 1891, when he was invited to teach I talian literature and Sanskrit at the University of Rome. In Florence he was active in developing what was called "oriental studies," through the creation of many journals (*Rivista Orientale, Bolletino Italiano degli studii orientali, Giornale della Società Asiatica*) and the founding of the *Indian Museum* and *Società Asiatica Italiana* and the organization of the Fourth International Congress of Orientalists. He was the editor of many biographical dictionaries and published numerous books on Italian literature and Indian studies.

²⁾ F.L. Vicente, *Outros orientalismos. A Índia entre Florença e Bombaim 1860-1900* (Lisbon: Imprensa de Ciências Sociais, 2009). Āis ar ticle is based on the work done for this book, which will also be published in Italian and English.

the production of knowledge on India throughout the second half of the century. Bombay, on the other hand, usually mor e associated with commerce than the more intellectual Calcutta, reveals itself as a city with significant institutions and instruments of knowledge and a multi-religious, multi-ethnic community of scholars.

We did not find the photograph itself but only a reproduction in a newspaper, but there is a wide array of documents and sources that discuss its making and its reception and enable us to argue for the need to combine visual and textual sources in the same historiographical approach. Āe significance attached to the act of being photographed and the quantity of materials available on the production of one photograph will allo w us to make a "thick description" of the photograph as an historical object. ³ Āe apparent stability of what was visible—the photograph of an Italian orientalist and three Indian scholars, all dressed in native costume, taken in a Bombay studio—was rendered problematic by the many layers of written dialogues that negotiated the making of the photograph, befor e it was taken in Bombay, and then discussed its reception, when it was reproduced in Italy.

Āer e were, in fact, two specific moments that generated rich and sometimes conflicting dialogues about the photograph itself: one took place after the publication of the image in Italy, while the other happened before the photograph was taken. O n 13 D ecember 1885 the popular I talian weekly newspaper L'Illustrazione Italiana dedicated half a page to a sketch based on the photograph, which had recently arrived from Bombay (Illustration 1).⁴ In travelling from Bombay to Italy to be exhibited in a different national and cultural context and to a wider audience, the photograph necessarily acquired other meanings. Āe control over these meanings, or the inability to exercise such control, revealed the cultural and identity sensibilities that were at stake and that had already been present in the conflicting dialogues that took place befor e the actual photograph was made, during negotiations o ver who should be photographed and who should not, and why.

Ār ough these dialogues, present through personal correspondence and private diaries and r elated to both the pr oduction and the r eception of

³⁾ C. Geertz, "Āick D escription: Toward an Interpretive Āeor y of Culture," in *Āe Inter*pretation of Cultures: Selected Essays, C. Geertz (New York: Basic Books, 1973): 3-30.

⁴⁾ "De Gubernatis Brahmino," *L'Illustrazione Italiana*, 12/50 (1885): 378-80. Āe photograph was apparently sent by Angelo de Gubernatis himself.



Group portrait with José Gerson da Cunha, Angelo De Gubernatis (seated, from left to right), S hyamaji Krishnavarma and B hagwanlal (standing, from left to right), 1885. Litography. Drawing made by C. Cavallotti after a photograph taken in a Bombay photographic studio on the 10th & Cober 1885. Published in L'Illustrazione Italiana, n° 50 (13 D ecember 1885) with the article "De Gubernatis Brahmino"

the photograph, we will explore notions of cultural, religious, and ethnic identity that go beyond the simplistic division between one European and the three Indians with whom he was photographed, which a superficial approach to the image might suggest. We shall first analyze the circulation of the image and its reproduction and then concentrate on the discussions that negotiated who should and should not be photographed, which resulted in the final agreement that all had to be "learned." After discussing the religious ceremony itself, which culminated in the photograph, we will analyze the histories of the four men portrayed in the photograph and the relationships between them, in the frame work of knowledge production, scholarly collaboration, and comparisons between Indian and European knowledges.

Āe C irculation and Reproduction of an Indian Photograph

Having not found the original photograph, we can only analyze the printed sketch based on it that was published in a ne wspaper, which was thus a reproduction of a r eproduction. But, while the absence of the original photograph can be viewed as a limitation, it can also be interpreted as a historical fact in itself, revealing the reproductive power the image assumed during this period and the increasing speed of this process. A photograph taken in Bombay in October 1885 was transported by sea to Milan. Āer e it was reproduced as a drawing by an employee of a newspaper, which then printed it and revealed it, in December (two months after it was taken), to the gaze of the Italian readership of the popular *L'Illustrazione Italiana*. Āe same issue in which the photograph was reproduced had pages full of images of the E ritrean cities of M assawa and of Assab, where Italy had established its first African colonies, in 1885.

According to the caption under the illustration, the image was of "Professor Angelo de G ubernatis with the B rahmans of Bombay." Āe three Brahmans—Gerson da C unha, Shyamaji Krishnavarma, and Bhagwanlal—were identified, despite the fact that the orthography of the two Indian names had only partially survived the trip to Europe: although intelligible, they are both misspelled Aer e was a short text that explained the meaning of an image that was, on its own, difficult for the majority of the readers to understand: the renowned Italian professor of Sanskrit and Indian literature Angelo de Gubernatis—who was at that moment travling through India in order to study the customs, languages, myths, and r eligious traditions of that "mysterious and interesting people"—had been made a Brahman. As one cannot convert to Hinduism and can only be a Brahman if one is born into the caste, Gubernatis had been the object of a religious ceremony of purification—perhaps we should call it a ceremony of upanayana ("initiation", the ceremony by which a guru initiates a boy into his caste by giving him the sacred thread), because its central gesture was to receive the sacred thread. In this way, he acquired the legitimacy to contact the sacred—visiting temples, talking to Hindu panditas, acquiring religious artifacts for his museum, obser ving religious events—the main

⁵⁾ It is almost certain that at least one copy of the original photograph r emains in Angelo de Gubernatis' archive kept at the manuscript section of the Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale di Florence, but the archive is still being catalogued and organiæd, and the photograph has not yet been found.

objective of his voyage to India. According to Gubernatis, it was the Sanskrit-speaking pandit Bhagwanlal who offered to transform what had been the simple act of dr essing up and being photographed as a H indu Brahman into an actual religious initiation ceremony in which he was presented with the sacred thread of the Brahmans, in recognition of his profound knowledge of the Hindu religion.⁶

A few days after the photograph was taken, G ubernatis was honoured by, and elected to membership in, one of the most imporant learned institutes in British India—the Bombay branch of the Royal Asiatic Society—but this was not enough. Committed to a strategy of self-pr omotion in India and in I taly, Gubernatis took full adv antage of modern dev elopments in the reproduction and circulation of images and texts in order to increase the public resonance of his trip. He thereby not only made sure that the Italian public knew about his Sanskrit speech at the Asiatic Society⁷ but also guaranteed that the same public could see his portrait dressed as an Hindu Brahman, photographed with three members of the I ndian intellectual elites that lent legitimacy to his role as the pre-eminent Italian Indianist.

In addition to using the Italian press to promote his journey, Gubernatis wanted to do the same in I ndia, as this meant he could r each the Indian elites he wanted to become involved with. Āe two main objectives of his journey were the acquisition of objects for the creation of an I ndian Museum in Florence and the consolidation of a network of Indian contacts that could support the I talian Asiatic Society that he wanted to establish. His long journey in I ndia and the museum and society he

⁶⁾ Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale di Firenze, MS Angelo de Gubernatis [II, IV, 674], *Relazioni del suo viaggio nell'India*, autogr. (1885-6): 86; A. de Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni indiane*, *India centrale* (Florence: Niccolai, 1886): 1:91.

⁷⁾ On 14 October 1885 Angelo de Gubernatis was elected honorary member of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. Āe cer emony began with the speeches of various members, including Gerson da Cunha, and finished with de G ubernatis's conference on "Indian Studies in Italy," in Sanskrit. It was thought to be the first time that someone had spoken in Sanskrit at the Society, where the usual language of public address was English. Āe various speeches were published in the journal 's section "Abstract of the S ociety's Proceedings", Āe Journal of the Bombay B ranch of the R oyal Asiatic Society 43 (1885): xxvi-xxxiii.

⁸⁾ Āe *Società Asiatica Italiana* was created upon de G ubernatis' return to Florence, in 1886. Inspired by analogous societies in Great Britain and India, its aim was to unite those who were interested in Asia as a subject and to promote in Italy the studies on Asia. It also aimed to promote contemporary relations between Italy and Asia. It encouraged the

created upon his return in 1886 also helped affirm his scholarly authority and his status as a cosmopolitan intellectual able to move as an intermediary between the new Italian nation (which had recently been unified) and the rest of the world.

Gubernatis publicized this event in various kinds of texts, available to various publics. In his intimate description of the cer emony, Gubernatis revealed his religious ambivalence and the way in which, without abandoning Christianity, he accepted his new status as a Brahman and "honoured Brahma" as he did "Christ." 9 In a short article he wrote for the leading Florentine newspaper, La Nazione, however, Gubernatis felt the need to be more assertive in his Christianity for his readers. He had been born a Christian and would die a Christian and justified his participation in the ceremony by claiming that the possibility of being the pr otagonist of a ritual enacted by someone of Bhagwanlal's stature was an enormous honour for an Italian scholar who so admired India. 10 He also stressed that what others might vie w as a meaningless ev ent was, for him, a solemn blessing that announced his pilgrimage through India's holy places: "one of these learned Brahmans, recognizing me as a Brahman like them, following sacred rites placed upon me the sacr ed thread that identifies me as a true Indian pandita. Aus, I liv ed amongst them, studied them, and observed in detail everything that interested me about this religious life."11

Āe image itself or the description of its making had an impact in various places. In Calcutta, the musician Pramod, the son of Sourindro Mohur Tagore, the founder of the B engal Academy of Music,¹² read Gubernatis' description of the ceremony in the *Bombay Gazette* with great interest and

participation of Asian members, mainly Indians. One of the aims of de Gubernatis' journey to India was to meet the members of the I ndian elites—princes, pandits, and scholars—that might become members of the Italian Asiatic Society.

⁹⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 101v-102v.

¹⁰⁾ Gubernatis, Peregrinazioni Indiane: 1:90.

¹¹⁾ A. de Gubernatis, "Un italiano in India: Bombay, 14 ottobre," *La Nazione*, 6 November 1885: 2. For an analysis of the conversion rites practiced by the Jesuits in India and for the case of Nobili, a Christian who, like Gubernatis, immersed himself, for different reasons, in Hindu religious rites, see I.G. Ž upanov, *Disputed Mission. Jesuit Experiments and Brahmanical Knowledge in S eventeenth-century India* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1999).

¹²⁾ Raja Sir Sourindro Mohun Tagore (1840-1914) was the founder of the B engal Music School (1871) and the Bengal Academy of Music (1881) and doctor in music at the University of Philadelphia (1875). Belonging to a prosperous and liberal Bengali family, he was educated in a mixed culture, Indian and European. He became well known for his work on

stated that he was "proud to see your devotion to our dear I ndia." Ae echoes of the purification ceremony, which Gubernatis had described as a Brahman investiture, even reached Rio de Janeiro. Āe emper or of Brazil, Pedro II (1825-1891), wrote to Gubernatis in Italian to ask him to send him one of the photographs "in which he is pictured with Bhagwanlal and Gerson da Cunha"; the emper or also regretted having nothing to send him, because the study of Sanskrit in Brazil was little more than a curiosity for a few specialists. ¹⁴

When, in 1886, Gubernatis finally opened the Indian Museum in Florence with the materials he had collected in India, all of the items that were used in the religious ceremony that preceded the taking of the photograph were displayed in a glass case, alongside other M uslim, Christian, and Hindu items. An entry in the museum's catalogue identified the "complete set of Brahman robes, including turban, sacred thread, and uttariya, " worn by an I talian *pandita* on the day of his investiture in Bombay," while another described the "threads and sandalwood worn during the consecration of a new Brahman in Bombay on 10 October 1885." Ae catalogue entries do not mention Gubernatis's name, nor did they need to, because almost every object in the museum was connected with his journey.

As far as we know, the only negative reaction to the image, which was related to the context in which it was presented in *L'Illustrazione Italiana*, came from José Gerson da Cunha, one of the men in the photo Da Cunha (1844 Goa–1900 Bombay), a Christian Goan physician and historian living in Bombay, was the only I ndian to take part in the Fourth International Congress of Orientalists, in Florence in 1878, which is where he first met Gubernatis.¹⁷ In an Orient of uncertain boundaries that changed with

Hindu music and was the author of poems set to music, in S anskrit and in English, dedicated to Queen Victoria and the Prince of Wales.

¹³⁾ BNCF, MS, *Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis*, Box 154, letter from Pramod Kumar Tagore (Calcutta, Pathuria Ghata Raj Bati, 18 November 1886).

¹⁴⁾ BNCF, MS, Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis, Box 154, letter from Pedro d'Alcântara (Rio de Janeiro, 5 October 1886).

¹⁵⁾ A piece of cloth, usually made of cotton, which is commonly used as a scarf.

¹⁶⁾ Catalogo del Museo Indiano (Florence: Le Monnier, 1887): 74-7.

¹⁷⁾ José Gerson da Cunha (1844 Goa, 1900 Bombay). O n his life and wor ks see: G.M. Moraes, "Dr. José Gerson da Cunha: 1844-1900. Memorial Volume," *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bombay*, new ser.1964-5 (1967): 39-45; J. Gerson da Cunha, *Francisco Caetano da Cunha e sua família* (Nova Goa: Tip. da Casa Luso-Francesa, 1925); J.B. Amâncio Gracias, "Notícia necrológica e biográfica de Gerson da Cunha," *O Instituto* 48/1 (1901): 47, 49; He published many articles on the Portuguese presence in India, mainly in the *Journal*

the political and personal whims of those organizing the confer ences, the lectures on India and the Oriental Exhibition taking place simultaneously in one of the Medici palaces were the highlight of the F lorentine conference. Āis was the beginning of an intense friendship between the two men, a friendship of intellectual rapport and mutual admiration that was expressed through seven years of correspondence between Florence and Bombay. When they finally met on Indian soil in 1885, Gerson da Cunha was able to repay his friend's hospitality by acting as his intermediary and guide in Bombay, introducing him to his wide circle of friends and scholars, accompanying him on ethnographic and ar chaeological trips, and helping him to collect exhibits for the I ndian Museum of Florence. Āe gathering in the photographic studio took place in this context, shor tly after Gubernatis's arrival to Bombay.

However, emerging from this meeting in I ndia that both men had so desired were some misunderstandings that w ere brought into the open only when Gubernatis, on his return to Italy, wrote a letter to Gerson da Cunha saying everything he had not said while in I ndia. 18 In his angry reply, Gerson da Cunha accused Gubernatis of various things, including the inappropriate captioning of the photograph: "did you ask me for permission to call me a gentile in the *Illustrazione Italiana*?" ¹⁹ It was clear that Gerson da Cunha did not like being described in the caption as one of the "Bombay Brahmans." Despite the fact that the text accompanying the image clearly stated that Gerson da Cunha was a "Christian Brahman from Goa," who had previously visited Italy to take part in a conference of Orientalists, and despite the reference to his title of "Doctor," which identified him as a surgeon, the photograph's caption, which was more obvious and closer to the picture, made no mention of him being a Christian. Āe word "gentile" was entirely absent from the newspaper article, but Gerson da Cunha knew that most people believed that being a Brahman meant being a Hindu and not a Christian, which in turn meant being a "

of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, but also in the Indian Antiquary. See also J. Gerson da Cunha, "Materials for the History of Oriental Studies Amongst the Portuguese," in Atti del IV Congresso Internazionale degli Orientalisti tenuto in Firenze nel Settembre 1878 (Florence: Le Monnier, 1881): 2:179-219.

¹⁸⁾ We found only the letter of r eply from Gerson da Cunha to Gubernatis. We do not know where the other side of this correspondence is—the letters from Gubernatis to Gerson da Cunha. We can thus deduce Gubernatis' accusations to Gerson da Cunha only by reading his replies.

¹⁹⁾ BNCF, MS, Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis, letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 44 (39 Hornby Road, Bombay, 13 July 1886).

"heathen," a word that, in the context of his G oan Catholic upbringing, was considered offensive. What was it that had offended Gerson da Cunha? Was it seeing himself represented in a way he did not recognize, or was it the possibility that others—in this case "E uropeans" and "Catholics"—would see him as other than he was? Who were the observers with whom Gerson da Cunha was so concerned? Were they the Italians, whose country he chose as his E urope, or the G oans who, in both G oa and Bombay, would probably have been surprised to see a Catholic dr essed as a Hindu Brahman, in an apparently serious photograph?

More surprising, however, is that one year before the two men fell out, a short time after the photograph was taken, G erson da Cunha wrote to Gubernatis, who was still travelling through India, informing him of the arrival of "two photographs of a group of gentiles" that was his own "share of the photographic enterprise."²⁰ In this letter to his friend, in a context that lent itself to both intimacy and ir ony, Gerson da Cunha was able to joke about the "group of gentiles," of which he was one, as if it we ere a deliberate performance by a group of men united by friendship and erudition. Once the reproduction of the photograph appeared in the illustrated Italian newspaper, however, Gerson da Cunha became aware that his identity might be perceived in another way, as one of three Indians, apparently Hindus, who served to contextualize Angelo de Gubernatis in the location from which the photograph was sent. In L'illustrazione Italiana, Gerson da Cunha became part of what might be perceived as a group of exotic wise men from a faraway land, legitimizing the knowledge of Italy's most illustrious Indian specialist. His Indianness was reinforced, while the elements of his European identity—his Goan culture, his mother language, his Catholic religion and education—became almost invisible. G erson da Cunha's reaction to the possible interpretations of the publicly exhibited image reveals that the image was unable to resolve the conflicts that arose before its making. In fact, the photograph was the esult of a series of negotiations concerning who would and who would not be photographed.

Negotiating Identities: Who Should Be Photographed and Why?

If the image, its caption, and the accompanying text in the *L'illustrazione Italiana* suggest to us hybridism and ethnic, **e**ligious, or national syncretism,

²⁰⁾ BNCF, MS, Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis, Letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 39 (Hornby Road, Bombay, 5 November 1885).

then the texts that describe the preparations for the photograph reveal the more or less hidden conflicts among those being photographed and those not being photographed. G ubernatis and Bhagwanlal were the only two in the photograph who w ere present throughout the process, including the planning and the taking of the pictur e. Gubernatis had already heard of this "learned and holy B rahman"—who was a specialist in S anskrit, epigraphy, and numismatics—before his arrival in India. He also knew that he had used his skills and kno wledge to assist British, German, and Dutch scholars and that the U niversity of Leiden listed him as one of its correspondent professors.²¹ In Bombay, Bhagwanlal became one of Gubernatis's closest aides and his main contact with sacred India. It was he who, at the beginning of O ctober 1885, a short time after Gubernatis's arrival in India, accompanied the Italian to order "Brahman robes" much like the ones he wore every day.²²

Āat the subjects would be photographed in "Brahman robes" was soon assumed, but who would be in the photograph next to Gubernatis? While the robes were being made, a fe w potential candidates were considered: Canta-Ram Narayana, a Brahman lawyer whom Gubernatis knew from Gerson da Cunha's literary salon, and his pregnant daughter, who recited the *Shakuntala* in Gubernatis's honour, "would also be photographed with me, dressed in Brahman robes." When Gerson da Cunha's wife, Ana Rita, heard that another woman might be joining them, she too expressed an interest in being part of the portrait. According to Gubernatis, however, the problems began just as "everything was almost ready for our appearance in front of the camera."

When her initial enthusiasm had cooled, Gerson da Cunha's wife regretted her resolution and no longer wished herself or her husband to be photographed. Gubernatis wrote in his personal diary that it was probable that her Catholic priest had forbidden her to be photographed with "Hdians." In his published account of the journey, however, this change of mind was no longer blamed on a single priest but on Catholicism in general and on the fear she might have had of the reception the photograph might receive in Goa. Ana Rita argued that the image of three Catholics—herself, her

²¹⁾ A. de Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni Indiane. India Centrale* (Florence: L. Niccolai, 1886): 1:60.

²²⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 77.

²³⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 74v.

²⁴⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 90v-91.

²⁵⁾ Gubernatis, *Relazioni del suo viaggio*: 90v-91.

²⁶⁾ Gubernatis, Peregrinazioni Indiane: 1:87.

husband, and G ubernatis—dressed as B rahmans would be a sour ce of ridicule in her Goan family. Āe pr oblem was not so much with Catholics being photographed dressed as Brahmans for a "bit of fun" as it was with being photographed with actual B rahmans in an image that appar ently had nothing to do with a "masquerade."

According to Gubernatis, Gerson da Cunha, when confronted with his wife's position, also had a change of hear t and decided not to be photographed, invoking religious differences as his main reason. In the privacy of his diaries, Gubernatis could blame the enormous influence Ana Rita had on her husband and reveal his difficulty in understanding the religious incompatibility between Hindu Brahmans and Catholics that we re also Brahmans: both Gerson da Cunha and his wife were of "ancient Brahman blood," and Gubernatis thought that they could assume their caste without offending their Catholic religion. Gerson da Cunha suggested taking another photograph, including only Catholics, but Gubernatis refused to take part in a representation determined by religion. He had not travelled from Italy to India to be photographed with Catholics.

In the account of this episode published in Peregrinazioni Indiane, Gubernatis expressed his profound disagreement with "Mrs da C unha": there was nothing light-hearted about the photograph, his Brahman robes were sacred, and it was with a sense of pride that he would be pictur alongside "real Indians" of that rank. I n the privacy of his unpublished travel journal, however, he had the freedom to write that Ana Rita's "problem" was that she wanted to "pass for a European." 27 By revealing his intolerance of an individual identity he believed to be too hybrid, he could be counted among those travellers who found it more difficult to deal with sameness than with difference. Goa's case could be particularly puzzling to British travellers' expectations of I ndia. Isabel Burton, for example, the wife of the famous trav eller and writer Richard Burton, was also condescending towards the European dress, gestures, and traditions she found amongst the Goan Catholic elites in Pangim (Panaji).²⁸ Both Isabel Burton and Gubernatis were prepared to witness and to accept India's differences, but they both had difficulties dealing with the cultural similarities embedded in ethnic differ ence. As they sear ched for "true" Indianness, finding

²⁷⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 90v-91.

²⁸⁾ I. Burton, AEI: Arabia, Egypt, India: A Narrative of Travel (London and Belfast: William Mullan and Son, 1879): 302-5.

"others" who were "like them" could unsettle their most deeply rooted expectations.

In his personal diar y (but not in the published v ersion), Gubernatis wrote that Gerson da Cunha wore an accessory that further complicated his religious identity: as both men w ere changing out of their E uropean clothes in the photographic studio, the I talian noticed that G erson da Cunha was wearing a necklace.²⁹ Gubernatis was surprised to see his Catholic friend wearing around his neck something that he identified ethnographically as the phallic symbol of the ancient Deccan Saivaite, but when he asked Gerson da Cunha why he was wearing the trinket, the doctor who had been educated in Bombay, Edinburgh, and London, in the colonial and metropolitan circuit of Western medical knowledge available to the inhabitants of British India—replied, according to Gubernatis, that it was simply an African stone that protected him from sore throats. On seeing his Italian friend's surprise, Gerson da Cunha seems to have preferred to raise doubts about his credibility as a doctor trained in Western science than about his Catholicism. Both G ubernatis and Bhagwanlal pretended to be satisfied with his explanation, although the former confided in his diary that this was proof that the Christians of the region preserved many pagan traditions.

Āis story, like many others confided to the intimacy of his priv ate Indian travel diary, demonstrated Gubernatis's contradictory feelings about what he identified as G erson da Cunha's hybrid identity. Āe friendship that had been born in F lorence was blemished a fe w years later by their close companionship while in India. Meeting daily in Bombay, in Gerson da Cunha's environment, Gubernatis often r evealed his difficulties in understanding the former's multiple identities, his diverse intellectual and cultural references, and the kind of Catholicism that allowed him to wear not a cross but a phallic symbol on his chest. Within this religious hybridism that Gubernatis found so difficult to understand there was also much he had in common with G erson da Cunha. Āe I talian was raised and educated as a Catholic but was criticiz ed by some of his compatriots for betraying Christianity and harbouring an excessive enthusiasm for Hindu culture. His dislike of Gerson da Cunha's wife led Gubernatis to blame her for other things: b y withdrawing from the photograph she had also

²⁹⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 102v.

³⁰⁾ C.A. de Cara, *Errori mitologici del pr ofessore Angelo de Gubernatis* (Prato: Tipografia Giachetti, 1883).

caused the other woman who was to be photographed—the daughter of Gerson da Cunha's friend, the Hindu lawver—to be excluded.³¹ Because the photograph was going to place Catholics and Hindus side by side, Ana Rita asserted that they should all be "learned." Despite being the daughter of a Hindu lawyer and, according to her proud father, one of only five hundred women in all of I ndia capable of r eciting the Shakuntala, her gender, reinforced by her evident pr egnancy, excluded her fr om the "learned" category. Ais led G ubernatis to write, in his private diary, that the only truly learned man in the photograph was Bhagwanlal.³² While the image says nothing about questions of gender—the women arnot present, and their absence is not ev en noted—the texts reveal that they too were involved in the negotiations over who should be in the group. In this context, gender was not necessarily a r elevant factor when combined with religion (Catholic or H indu) or caste, but once " erudition" became the criterion, women were excluded from the photograph, even if this exclusion was, according to Gubernatis, the result of a decision taken by a woman. We thus see how the criteria for inclusion in or exclusion from the photograph were altered: matters of gender, religion, and caste w ere involved, but it was finally a v ague notion of common kno wledge that dominated: "We will see ho w this far ce ends," Gubernatis lamented, expressing his inability to alter the unexpected consequences of his decision to be photographed in Brahman robes.

Āe fourth person in the image—in addition to G ubernatis, Gerson da Cunha, and B hagwanlal—is Shyamaji Krishnavarma (1857 M andvi, Kutch, 1930 Geneva) who happened to be in Gerson da Cunha's home the day before the photograph was taken. I n addition to being a H indu and a Brahman, Krishnavarma was an er udite person. Gubernatis had met him in 1881, at the International Congress of Orientalists in Berlin, which Krishnavarma attended as an expert in Vedic philosophy and r eligion. His relationship with Gerson da Cunha was older. In 1879, as a young, promising, and practically unknown scholar, Krishnavarma was Gerson da Cunha's private secretary and Sanskrit teacher. When Krishnavarma had

³¹⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 99.

³²⁾ *Ibid*.

³³⁾ R. Visram, *Asians in B ritain: 400 Years of H istory* (London: P luto, 2002): 150-2; I. Yajnik, *Shyamaji Krishnavarma: Life and Times of an I ndian Revolutionary* (Bombay: Lakshmi, 1950).

³⁴⁾ Krishnavarma taught Gerson da Cunha during the first half of 1878, and the high regard in which he held his tutor was evident in the letter of recommendation he wrote for

the opportunity to teach at Oxfor d as an assistant to the r enowned Sanskrit expert Sir Monier-Williams, Gerson da Cunha lent him money for the voyage to Britain. Gerson da Cunha classified his own gesture of generosity as a "small material contribution towards the progress of this land," a way of contributing to the knowledge of India by helping a bright young scholar.³⁵ Krishnavarma took advantage of his time in Britain to study law at Balliol College, where he became the first Indian to be awarded an MA from Oxford. By 1884, he was back in India practising as a lawyer in the Bombay court.³⁶ Married to the daughter of a rich Bombay mer chant, he had also been appointed advisor to the minister for Ratlam, in M adhya Pradesh, before going back to B ritain in 1897 and r emaining in Europe until his death in 1930, in G eneva. By the time of the photograph, in 1885, Krishnavarma, who had only r ecently returned from Britain, no longer needed to make a living by cataloguing Gerson da Cunha's Indian coin collection or teaching him S anskrit. His passage to the imperial metropolis meant a change of position when he settled again in India. Āe knowledge of Sanskrit was common to the four men, although Indraji was more interested in religious practice, Krisnavarma and Gubernatis were teaching Hindu literature and culture, and Gerson da Cunha was more interested in history and archaeology.

On the day of the photograph, Gubernatis transformed himself into his own ethnographic subject, car efully observing all the rituals in which he took part, in order to describe them later in his trav el diaries. From his arrival at the photo studio until the moment B hagwanlal put the sacr ed thread on his chest and made a mark on his forehead, which he identified as the sign of *lakshana*, joyfully exclaiming "here is a tr ue Brahman!," Gubernatis became both a protagonist and an observer of the ritual.³⁷ But even though, in his texts, he described in minute detail all of Bhagwanlal's gestures and orations, the photograph that concluded the cer emony bore no trace of the action that had preceded it. It became a classic group portrait, in which ev eryone was posing. S tudios normally have a room in

him (Yajnik, *Shyamaji Krishnavarma*: 27). We do not know whether this was the first time Gerson da Cunha had taken Sanskrit lessons and whether his knowledge of the language went further than the ability to read documents for his historical studies.

³⁵⁾ Yajnik, *Shyamaji Krishnavarma*: 27, 34-5; BNCF, Manuscripts, *Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis*, Letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 16 (Hornby Road, Bombay, 17 March 1879); Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni Indiane*: 1:87-8.

³⁶⁾ Yajnik, Shyamaji Krishnavarma: 7.

³⁷⁾ Gubernatis, Peregrinazioni Indiane: 1:89.

which those being photographed can prepare themselves. In this case, the idea of transformation inher ent in these spaces took shape thr ough the Hindu religious ritual under the Vedic rite of *brahmatva*, which "transformed" Gubernatis into a Brahman, thus preparing him for his religious exploration of India. Both events—the ceremony and the photograph that followed—marked the transition betw een Bombay, a sort of initiator y antechamber, and another India where he could assume his role as a "pilgrim of science."

Both literally and metaphorically , Bhagwanlal removed Angelo de Gubernatis's European clothes and slowly and delicately dressed him in his new Indian robes and with the sacred thread. 38 By tradition, it was forbidden for a man to study the Vedas and the sacred rituals—and only men were permitted to do so—without first having r eceived the sacred thread that was worn under the clothes, next to the skin. By being presented with the sacred thread, Gubernatis was recognized as an expert in the H indu faith and had more legitimacy in entering holy spaces, appearing befor e religious leaders, and acquiring items for the museum he intended to establish in Florence. When, during his journey through India, the Brahmans of a temple told him that he could not pur chase a pumpkin in the shape of an idol, Gubernatis opened his shirt and showed them his sacred thread. Āey immediately r elented and, in addition to selling him the pumpkin, offered him some sandalwood, a small bird, and a paper fan. 39

As Gubernatis himself admitted during his trav els, a Christian dressed as a Brahman, or disguised as one, was not unusual. A t the Jesuit church in Madurai he noticed por traits of two I talian missionaries dressed as Brahmans (*sannyasis*), one of whom was the famous missionar y Roberto Nobili. Gubernatis deeply regretted not having a camera with which "to take with him these two por traits that would be a wor thy decoration for the Indian Museum of Florence." By photographing these paintings, he

³⁸⁾ Ibid.: 1:88.

³⁹⁾ A. de Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni Indiane*, vol. 2, *India meridionale e Seilan* (Florence: L. Niccolai, 1887): 74-5.

⁴⁰⁾ According to Gubernatis, these were copies of originals kept in Rome, where they had been painted by local artists: Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni Indiane*: 1:263-4. On Roberto Nobili and the Jesuits knowledge of India in the seventeenth century, see Županov, *Disputed Mission*.

⁴¹⁾ Åe only similar image in the Indian Museum was a photograph of Tyrrell Leith dressed as a Muslim (*Catalogo del Museo Indiano*: 56, 68-9, 71, 88).

would have taken back to Florence a proof of religious syncretism, while legitimizing his own gesture in dressing as a Brahman before the Italian public.

Unlike almost all other cases in which Indians and Europeans were photographed together in a colonial context, the clothing worn in this photograph reflects no ethnic differ ences. Because the only E uropean in the photograph is dressed in the same way as the I ndians surrounding him, only physiognomy can differentiate them. Ais raises a difficult problem: We have only a sketch of the photograph, not the photograph itself Might the sketch artist in Milan, Mr Cavallotti, have emphasized the ethnic differences between those in the photograph? G ubernatis is, in fact, sho wn with light-coloured eyes, a fair beard, and white skin. Other photographs of Gubernatis show him to have had much darker skin and dark eyes. Did the artist, consciously or not, make Gubernatis appear whiter than he was and, perhaps, make the others darker, in order to highlight differences that were not obvious? Did Mr Cavallotti "de-nativize" an Italian who could not be distinguished from the Indians, in a photograph in which the colour of the turban as a mark of difference was more striking than the colour of the skin?

Classifying Photography

In recent years the number of studies on colonial photography has multiplied. Āese often emphasiz e both the diversity and the heter ogeneity of the relationships between photographer and subjects. Most studies treating photography in a colonial context, ho wever, still tend to privilege its role in the identification, classification, control, and affirmation of the colonial powers and "to see how photography has functioned to lend powerful support to the ideologies of cultural and racial dominance in the modern age." Aey are likely to concentrate on photographs taken in the colonies by photographers who are in a position of authority either because they belong to that group that might be described as the colonizers or because they used forms of visual classification that were indistinguishable

⁴²⁾ E.M. Hight and G.D. Sampson, "Introduction: Photography, 'Race', and Post-colonial Āeor y," in *Colonialist Photography: Imag(in)ing Race and Place*, ed. E.M. Hight and G.D. Sampson (London: Routledge, 2002): 16; M.A. Pelizzari, "Introduction," in *Traces of India: Photography, Architecture, and the Politics of Representation, 1850-1900*, ed. M.A. Pelizzari (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2003): 13-8.

from other tools of colonial knowledge. A Photography, particularly when used in physical anthropology, including its popularized versions, was an important instrument in the diffusion of ideas about race in a West that derived knowledge of the East primarily from texts and images. A ese often reinforced racial preconceptions, strengthening the hierarchies that organize people and legitimizing the needs and ambitions of the colonial powers. A eight-volume photographic compendium, A e People of India (1868-75), that sought to classify the different people of India in about five hundred captioned photographs, is just one example of this tendency.

Āe exploration of the links betw een colonial knowledge and photographic production, which were certainly present, coexists with the desire evident in many recent studies to broaden the approaches to photography. While photography served a colonial culture as understood by Nicholas Āomas, it was also widely used by Indians, becoming one of the most popular modern technologies in India during the second half of the nineteenth century. Photography in the colonial context—which was not necessarily "colonial photography"—had many uses and meanings, and it would be too reductionist to define it simply as an instrument in the exercise of authority, in differentiating the active actions of the colonizers who

⁴³⁾ J. Tagg, Åe Burden of Representation: Essays on Photographies and Histories (London: Macmillan, 1988).

⁴⁴⁾ J. Falconer, "'A Pure Labor of Love': A Publishing History of *Āe People of India*," in *Colonialist Photography: Imag(in)ing Race and Place*, ed. E.M. Hight and G.D. Sampson (London: Routledge, 2002): 51-83.

⁴⁵⁾ N. Āomas, Colonialism's Culture: Anthropology, Travel and Government (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994). For more on photography in India, see C. Pinney, Āe Coming of P hotography in I ndia (London: Āe B ritish Library, 2008); M. Karlekar, Re-visioning the Past: Early Photography in Bengal 1875-1915 (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2005); Pelizzari, ed., Traces of India; ed. V. Dehejia, India through the Lens: Photography 1840-1911, exhibition catalogue (Washington DC: Freer Gallery of Art and Arthur M. Sackler Gallery, Smithsonian Institution, 2000); C. Pinney, Camera Indica: Āe Social Life of Indian Photographs (London: Reaktion, 1997); J. Falconer, "Photography in Nineteenth-century India," in Āe Raj: India and the British, 1600-1947, ed. C.A. Bayly (London: National Portrait Gallery, 1990); E. Edwards, ed., Anthropology and Photography, 1860-1920 (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1992); J. Falconer, ed., India: Pioneering Photographers 1850-1900, exhibition catalogue, Brunei Gallery SOAS (London: Āe B ritish Library and Āe H oward and Jane Ricketts Collection, 2001); J.M. Gutman, Ār ough Indian Eyes: 19th and 20th Century Photography from India (New York: Oxford University Press, International Center of Photography, 1982); R. Desmond, Victorian India in Focus: A Selection of Early Photographs from the Collection in the I ndia Office Library and Records (London: HMSO, 1982).

took the photographs from the passive actions of the colonized being photographed. In a debate that has developed in parallel with that surrounding the use of the term "orientalism" since Edward Said published his book in 1978, the critical theory that has been dedicated to colonial photography today tends to question the pr esuppositions that limit photography to a relationship between knowledge and power, emphasizing the complexity and multiplicity of its uses in the colonial context.⁴⁶

It is not possible to consider the photograph in question a colonial photograph in these terms. One the one hand, we must recognize that its production is inseparable from the colonial context in which the par ticipants evolved, although the image seems to suggest differ ent hierarchies and identities that cannot be seen solely through a colonial prism. On the other hand, it was those being photographed—and not the photographer—who made the decisions that led to the final image. As an obser ver, the Parsi photographer owned the camera and pressed the shutter release, but his involvement was as a passive witness. In the case of this image, the "difficult encounter" that sometimes is identified between the photographer and those photographed took place only between those photographed, but it was not necessarily a consequence of existing colonial hierachies. Nevertheless, as we have seen, while those being photographed could be involved in the construction of the image, they were, despite all their efforts, unable to control its interpretation.

Can we then, from another perspective, compare this photograph with the orientalized images in which European subjects dress in Eastern clothes? In fact, the idea of disguise and staging had been a par t of photography since its invention. Within this kind of photography, visual orientalism was one of the most popular ways to make use of differ ence to construct an image of oneself, as was also true of the pictorial tradition of the portrait. During the second half of the nineteenth century and the first part of the twentieth, there was a proliferation of photographs of E uropeans dressed in oriental costume, either at masquerades or in the studios of photographers who supplied the necessary props, clothing, and backdrops

⁴⁶⁾ E.W. Said, *Orientalism* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1978); Hight and Sampson, "Introduction": 1-19; J.R. Ryan, *Picturing Empire: Photography and the Visualization of the British Empire* (London: Reaktion, 1997); J.M. Schwartz and J.R. Ryan, ed., *Picturing Place and the Geographical Imagination* (London: I.B. Tauris, 2003).

⁴⁷⁾ K. Jacobson, *Odalisques and Arabesques: Orientalist Photography 1839-1925* (London: Quaritch, 2007); N. Tromans, ed., Åe Lure of the Orient: British Orientalist Painting (London: Tate Publishing, 2008).

for the transformation.⁴⁸ More important than identifying the geographic location in which the costume was worn or the ethnographic accuracy of the result was the evocation of an Orient that everyone could recognize as such, even if no one knew where it actually was.

In our image, however, Gubernatis was not simply dressed as an Indian Brahman, he was somehow assuming the identity of an Indian Brahman, and everything that he wore was "genuine," legitimized and sacralized by Bhagwanlal Indraji. Just as Richard Burton "went native" in order to travel incognito, Gubernatis wished to mitigate the differ ences with those he wanted to meet, because this blurring of identities would allow him to get closer to the object of his interest, religious India, which, for him, meant mainly the Hindu religion. 49 He also participated in ceremonies and collected objects from other religions, as he did in the P arsi community of Bombay, for example, but the India he wanted to exhibit in Florence was Hindu India. It also seems that the photographic studio had not been made to look I ndian. Indeed, our image is distinguished by its restraint and by the absence of the excess that is normally associated with a staged Orient. Even the ethnographic and religious rituals that preceded the photograph are absent from the image. More than the costumes and complexions of the subjects of the photograph, it was the text accompanying it that linked the image to India. Ais inseparability again argues for the need to approach text and image fr om the same historiographical point of vie w. We could also question an attempt to classify this image solely because it was produced in a non-Western space. We should accept that, as happened with photography produced in Europe or the United States during the second half of the nineteenth century, photography in non-Western places that were in some cases also colonized assumed a diversity too difficult to restrict to specific categories.

One of the main disputes in deciding who was to be photographed—which led to Gerson da Cunha and his wife Ana Rita agr eeing and then refusing to be part of the planned photograph—concerned the difficulties in defining the border between the genuine and the false, between robes and costume, between reality and masquerade. While Bhagwanlal Indraji and Krishnavarma were dressed in their everyday clothes consistent with

⁴⁸⁾ M. Roberts, *Intimate Outsiders: Åe H arem in Ottoman and Orientalist Art and Travel Literature* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2007).

⁴⁹⁾ D. Kennedy, Āe Highly Civilized Man: Richard Burton and the Victorian World (Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 2005): 46, 51-5.

the tradition of Hindu Brahman pandits, Gerson da Cunha was not photographed in his usual garments. He was the only one in the photograph who was wearing a costume to disguise himself and, according to Gubernatis, he did so with some pugnance and only to please him (Gbernatis). Separated from his Catholicism, the evocation of his Brahman caste seemed to make him uncomfortable, because, in the social and cultural context of Portuguese India, each category needed the other in order to constitute a sign of social distinction. 51

Āe M en Portrayed: Agency, Knowledge, and Mobilities

Āis image might undermine some of the pr emises used in the study of colonial photography, and the paths follo wed by those photographed, as well as their relationships with one another, were not easily reconciled with the usual positions assigned to the coloniz ed. Āey all liv ed in a colonial environment, but they were all characterized by the geographical mobility and fluidity of the positions they occupied as pr oducers of knowledge about India and by the impossibility of placing them in such categories as "colonizers" and "colonized." Āe photograph that br ought them together, published in a newspaper in an Italy that was beginning to aspire to its own colonial project, could never have been found in a colonial historiography written from a nationalist perspective. Āe same might be said of the four participants.

Āe men in the photograph, with Indraji's exception, lived in a world of frontiers in the process of being defined, frontiers that they reinforced, criticized, or questioned. Standing in-between different worlds they reinforced the ever more central idea that there were many ways of being and of living in colonial contexts, and that the "colonized" could have different ways of relating to colonial agents, institutions, and discourses. Āer e are more useful ideas—than the categories of collaboration or subalternity, of complicity with the colonial regime or resistance to it, of Europeanization or of Indianization—to help us understand them better as participants in a colonial world.

⁵⁰⁾ Gubernatis, Relazioni del suo viaggio: 102rv.

⁵¹⁾ Ângela Barreto Xavier has made the same point in her many studies of G oa, e.g., her "David contra Golias na Goa seiscentista e setecentista. Escrita identitária e colonização interna," *Ler História* 49 (2005): 107-43, 117-9.

Āey were all involved in producing knowledge about India, they all had agency, initiative, voice, and authority in the construction of an India that was increasingly being identified, described, collected, exhibited, photographed, and historicized. ⁵² But if colonial knowledge means knowledge that is produced or instrumentalized by the colonizing po wer, this does not help us to understand the heterogeneity of the discourses of the colonized. Āe meaning of such par ticipation was not monolithic: sometimes they contributed to discourses that were indistinguishable from European colonial initiatives, but at other times their contributions enhanced an Indian culture that could be used as a way of legitimizing a path to nationalism, where the British presence would no longer have a place—or which could be used to value a Goan culture seen as different from that of Portugal or from that of the rest of India.

Āer e were many I ndians taking part in the v arious efforts to know India, but some ways of participating in this dialogue involved more agency than did others. In many cases, Indians worked as collaborators, intermediaries, or interpreters for British or Europeans: excavating archaeological sites; photographing, drawing, or gathering sur veys of peoples or objects; copying inscriptions; collecting objects or manuscripts; translating texts (which often meant preparing the materials that would then be used by others, mostly Europeans). Āese kinds of cultural relationships had an obvious hierarchy: Indians were the intermediaries between the objects of study and the producers of knowledge, but they were not the ones who signed the final product.

In many other cases, however, and increasingly during the second half of the nineteenth century, Indians were producing knowledge in their own names and had their wor k recognized by their contemporaries. D espite being fewer than their E uropean counterparts, a significant number of Indians actively participated in this ex change of knowledge—writing in scholarly journals, presenting papers at international congresses, belonging to learned institutions such as the Asiatic S ocieties, or being members of

⁵²⁾ Āe recent work on the production of knowledge in colonial contexts, specifically in India, is vast, e.g., B.S. Cohn, *Colonialism and Its Forms of Knowledge: Āe B ritish in India* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996). R. Inden, *Imagining India* (London: Hurst, 2000); P.B. Wagoner, "Precolonial Intellectuals and the P roduction of Colonial Knowledge," *Comparative Studies in Society and H istory* 45/4 (2003): 783-814; Tapati Guha-Āakur ta, *Monuments, Objects, Histories. Institutions of Art in Colonial and P ostcolonial India* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2004).

the commissions that organized the representations of India at exhibitions, in India or in Europe.

Āis participation was not free from conflict and hierar chy. Even the work of those I ndians who had an individualiz ed voice in the scholarly construction of I ndia was often subor dinated in various ways, in the process of revealing a specific knowledge or by subsequent historical constructs, which simply omitted, ignor ed, or subor dinated their agency. Many Indians—such as Gerson da Cunha, Bhagwanlal, and Shyamaji Krishnavarma—therefore had an active role in this process, not as collaborators but as protagonists, although history tended later to erase them from the contexts of cultural production, in what amounted to another form of intellectual colonization.

As we have seen in Shyamaji Krishnavarma's case, the same person could embody both kinds of agency. From what we know of his life until the year in which he was photographed, he was a paradigmatic example of a collaborator, a perfect symbiosis of local knowledge and knowledge produced in the metropolis. He went to Oxford to teach Sanskrit as an assistant to a renowned British orientalist. He studied law in Oxfor d and in London, after which he r eturned to India, where he occupied sev eral prestigious positions within the judicial system that had been cr eated by the British colonizers. In the mid-1880s, however, he became familiar with the Indian nationalist movements that were becoming increasingly prominent.⁵³

Āe second part of his biography places him amongst those r esisting colonialism or even makes him an active agent of its end. He returned to London some years after he posed for the photograph, although this time for a different purpose. He founded the India House in 1904, a residence for Indian students in London that was to become one of the centres of colonial resistance and the struggle for Indian independence. Āat same year he established the journal *Indian Sociologist: An Organ of Freedom, and*

National Congress meeting was held in Bombay , the first of many meetings of I ndians, motivated by more or less assumed ideas of independence and nationalism: Report of the First Indian National Congress Held at Bombay on the 28th, 29th and 30th D ecember 1885 (Lucknow: G.P. Varma & Brothers, 1885); Āe Indian National Congress 1885-1985: An Exhibition in the British Library to Mark the Centenary of the First Meeting of the Indian National Congress at Bombay in December 1885 [30 November 1985-February 1986] (London: British Library, 1985). Āis catalogue published a photograph of all those who took part in this congress. Āat Krishav arma was dewan (chief minister) of Ratlam and that he was not in Bombay at the time of the congress perhaps explains his absence.

of Political, Social and Religious Reform, in which he published his critiques of the British colonial government's despotism. As one of the most active voices in favour of a peaceful transition to hdian independence, he became a freedom fighter who was later r egarded as a precursor of Gandhi (who lived in the India House with others involved in the Indian independence movement). Āe categories of "collaborator" and "resister" thus seem too limiting for classifying Shyamaji Krishnavarma.

Bhagwanlal (d. 1888) was born in Junagadh in 1839, and it was he who best embodied the sacr ed India, the Sanskrit India, the India of Hindu Brahman culture. He was the most "Indian" of all those photographed and perhaps because of this, it was he whom Gibernatis most admired. He had never set foot outside I ndia, even though, immediately after the photograph was taken, he told G ubernatis of his intense desir e to visit Europe and take part in the next congress of orientalists in Vienna, provided the rules of his religion were not transgressed. ⁵⁴ Bhagwanlal was considered an authority on classical Sanskrit and had already collaborated in the translation of the *Laws of Manu* and several other texts, always as an assistant to European orientalists.

When the traveller, translator, and writer Richar d Burton and Foster Fitzgerald Arbuthnot, a member of the Bombay civil ser vice and student of Indian and Persian literature, began to sho w an interest in the *Kama Sutra*, a third-century religious Sanskrit text, they hired Bhagwanlal to collate and compare the many versions of the manuscript and establish the final version that was to be translated into Gajarati and then into English. To help him in the process of establishing the text of the *Kama Sutra*, Indraji relied on Shivaram Parshuram Bhide, a student at the University of Bombay who knew Sanskrit and English. Indraji delivered the *Kama Sutra* to Arbuthnot, and he, in turn, passed it on to Richard Burton, whom history records as the translator of the celebrated text that was revealed to the West in 1883. For In a clear example of the subordination of certain scholars

⁵⁴⁾ I believe he never travelled to Europe, despite Gubernatis's guarantee that his religious beliefs would be respected (Gubernatis, *Peregrinazioni Indiane*: 1:91).

⁵⁵⁾ Kennedy, Āe Highly Civilized Man: 215-6. According to James McConnonachie, it was Georg Bühler, a German student of India living in Bombay who recommended Bhagwanlal, who had also collaborated with James Fergusson and James Burgess. See J. McConnachie, Āe Book of Lo ve: In Search of the Kamasutra (London: Atlantic, 2007): xiii, 111-8, 122-7.
56) Āe Kama Sutra of Vatsyayana, Translated from the Sanskrit with Preface, Introduction and Concluding Remarks (Cosmopoli: Kama Shastra Society of London and Benares, 1883); Āe

and knowledges to others, Burton received the glory and the recognition, despite the fact that his participation was effectively limited to proofreading the English text, adding some footnotes, and, possibly , writing the preface, or part of it.

As we see in this case, the chain of intellectual collaboration was not always limited to the partnership of European erudites and Indian assistants, but also included other forms of collaboration, such as that between Indians. Nevertheless, these relations were almost always shaped by a set of hierarchies of power, either assumed or implicit. ⁵⁷ As happened with so many intermediaries essential to the process of collecting, identifying, collating, transcribing, photographing, and creating knowledge of I ndia, Indraji's name did not sur vive the journey between the knowledge produced by locals and the knowledge of the locale (India in this case), which tended to erase the role played by Indians in the study of India.

Gubernatis transcribed the dialogue he had with Bhagwanlal about the differences between European and Indian scholars, immediately after the photograph was taken. According to Bhagwanlal, Europeans knew how to work with the materials but lacked cal knowledge of the subjects described, while the Indians knew a great deal but were unable to organize this knowledge into the form of a book. 58 He also confessed to G ubernatis that he lacked the ability to transform all of the material he had collected and all of the knowledge that he had accumulated and that he needed to wor k with a "European scholar capable of giving light and life" to the material that lay "like a dark and dead thing" in his hands. It seems, from his quoted words, that Bhagwanlal Indraji had interiorized a common colonial perspective on the ways of producing knowledge and the useful, but subordinate, role natives could have in the process. Āese differ ent epistemological approaches—which were often stated by European orientalists but could also be assumed, as in this case, by Indian scholars—had an implicit hierarchy. While the locals had the benefit of belonging to the place they studied, it was Western scholars who usually had the tools to analyze it.

What about Angelo de Gubernatis? What, precisely, was his position in the geographical, political, social, and cultural spaces in which he evolved

Kama Sutra of Vatsyayana, trans. R. Burton and F.F. Arbuthnot, ed. W.G. Archer (London: Guild, 1990).

⁵⁷⁾ M.S. Lovell, A Rage to Live: A Biography of Richard and Isabel Burton (London: Abacus, 1998): 610-21; M.S. Dodson, Orientalism, Empire and National Culture: India, 1770-1880 (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007): 10.

⁵⁸⁾ Gubernatis, Peregrinazioni Indiane: 1:91.

and in the spher es of knowledge production on India? He travelled to India to be "Indianized," that is, to stop being an "imperfect, almost false, scholar of India" and to create an Indian Museum in Florence. ⁵⁹ Āe I talian had a passion for hdia but particularly for the India he imagined before leaving Europe—the India he had studied and taught about for so many years. He looked for and accommodated difference, but when India resembled Europe, he had mor e difficulty in accepting it. G ubernatis was the only European in the photograph, a E uropean orientalist but fr om a Europe that had no connection to colonial hdia, which was dominated by Britain and where Portugal and France survived as minor colonizers, overshadowed by their neighbour.

His devotion to ancestral and learned I ndia did not prevent him from being accompanied on his journey by two commercial agents, whose mission was to establish an Italian emporium in Bombay. 60 Nor did it prevent him from imagining an India colonized by Italy, in which Diu—an island off the west coast of India, which he considered poorly exploited by the Portuguese—would be the first acquisition. His career and his written and personal relationship with India prevent us from employing monolithic definitions to identify him. B efore travelling to India, Gubernatis had spent more than twenty years writing about it, creating institutes and journals dedicated to an "Orient" in which India was always the leading figure, and his early discourse was less focused on the present than it became later. His journey, however, represented a moment of transition in his discourse on the colonial position of an Italy that, in his words, "also wanted to leave home." In fact, in 1885, the year of his trip to India, Italy's colonial enterprise got under way on Africa's eastern coast, which meant, as Gubernatis noted, that India now lay much closer. His attitude towards British colonialism and the uses of an academic orientalism in exploring a colonial vocation were also ambivalent: from being openly critical of the abuse of

⁵⁹⁾ A. de Gubernatis, "Il viaggio nell'India ed il M useo Indiano," in A. de G ubernatis, *Fibra: Pagine di ricordi* (Rome: Forzani, 1900): 440.

⁶⁰⁾ De Gubernatis' journey to India was seen by the Italian government as an opportunity to send two men, Alessandro Albiani and Giovanni de Nobile, to explore the possibilities of studying and developing commercial relationships between the two countries. Āe different natures of their interests and personalities, as well as their different ways of seeing and living India, however, soon became obvious. De Gubernatis' diaries are full of complaints about the effectiveness of this mission, mainly with regard to the behaviour of De Nobile, who was finally sent back to Italy. We do not know the results of the mission, but no permanent Italian emporium seems to have been established in Bombay.

power by the British, he became an accomplice of those who wished to follow the same path, including Italy herself. Āe G ubernatis who returned to Florence, with his "I ndian Museum" in boxes and who published his three volume work, *Peregrinazioni Indiane*, had reinforced his involvement in the colonial project; his holy and scholarly I ndia was more and more entangled with a commer cial—and colonial—India. Gubernatis' India was earlier concerned mostly with the past, studied through history, literature, or linguistics, but by the mid-1880s—coinciding, not by chance, with Italy's growing interest in sharing the European colonial project—his India was also very much involved with the present.

Gerson da Cunha's frequent trips to Europe, his participation in international conferences, his visits to exhibitions in Paris, and the paper on Dante that he presented in Bombay are but a few examples of an itinerary full of intellectual and cultural references that were not only European or Indian, but which belonged to many Europes and many Indias. Āe fluidity of his identity was shaped more by what he was not or what he did not do than by his activities or his travels. He was a Goan who lived not in Goa but in Bombay, yet he was neither British nor British Indian; he was of Portuguese nationality (and the Portuguese in India in the sixteenth and sev enteenth centuries were one of the main objects of his studies), but he did not live under the rule of the Portuguese government, nor did he visit Portugal on his European journeys; he studied in the British medical schools created in the metropolis to educate doctors from the colonies, but the E uropean country with which he chose to establish intellectual and friendship r elationships was Italy, not Portugal or Great Britain. All this invested him the authority of never having been in the position of the colonized.

Gerson da Cunha's dislocation from the place where he was born, the fact of being a "foreigner" in Bombay, came to be determinant in his position as an individual, and in the place from which he could write and produce his own discourse. It was precisely this geographical and identity mobility that enabled Gerson da Cunha to create knowledge on an India that lay at the crossroads between various national, colonial, and local historiographies. He mastered Indian, Portuguese, and British history and historiography, bringing together their many references into a single text. He read and wrote in Portuguese and English and mastered several other languages, both European and Indian. He worked in the archives in Goa, Bombay, Rome, and Paris. He read tombstones in Catholic churches and Sanskrit inscriptions in H indu temples. He collected objects, especially coins, from many Indias. He was a member of the most pestigious learned

societies in British India and published, in E nglish, in the best journals, but he was also critical of the "selfish John Bull" and believed that India's liberation was not far off.

Gerson da Cunha was far from being one without a voice or deprived "of language or history," who would appropriate "the language and registry instruments of the colonisers in order to suggest other more heterogeneous histories."61 Nor can we argue that Gerson da Cunha took "the voyage in," Edward Said's expression to describe those writers, historians, and intellectuals from the non-European world who made a "conscious effort to enter into the discourse of Europe and the West, to mix with it, transform it, to make it acknowledge marginalized or suppressed or forgotten histories."62 Gerson da Cunha presented himself as a historian in the European tradition rather than in the Indian tradition. 63 Being Goan, though, meant that the language of the colonizers—that is, European culture and historical conceptions—had formed par t of his o wn culture since the sixteenth century, and any division between the European and the non-European is problematic. Āe idea of appr opriation of European culture by the Indian elite living in nineteenth-century British India, so persistent in the English-language historiography, cannot be applied to the case of the Goan scholarly elites.

While enabling him to cross many frontiers, this cultural hybridity embodied within one person could be problematic both for that person—as when combining Brahmanism with Catholicism outside the Goan context or when fearing being consider ed a *gentio* (gentile) by an I talian readership—and for those who surbunded him, as happened when Gibernatis manifested his difficulty in dealing with what her ecognized as the European culture of Gerson da Cunha's family. As a Goan and a Catholic, Gerson da Cunha considered himself closer to the Italian Gubernatis than to the other two, H indu, Indians who appeared in the photograph. F or Gubernatis, though, Gerson da Cunha's sporadic uneasiness with those aspects of his culture that were more associated with non-Christian India was difficult to understand.

⁶¹⁾ M. Ribeiro Sanches, "Introdução," on *Portugal não é um país pequeno: Contar o império na pós-colonialidade*, ed. M. Ribeiro Sanches (Lisbon: Cotovia, 2006): 18.

⁶²⁾ E.W. Said, Culture and Imperialism (London: Chatto & Windus, 1993): 260-1.

⁶³⁾ J. Gerson da Cunha, "Notes on the History and Antiquities of Chaul," *Āe Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society* 33/12 (1876): 51-162.

Personal letters or handwritten diaries, in private or public archives, such as those used in this article, provide rich and complex examples of cultural encounters and dialogues, but they also ar e objects that trav el between places and cultures. Ae many texts concerning the photograph—unpublished and published trav el journals, newspaper articles, personal correspondence, and museum catalogues—all emphasize the need to view this image in the context of its pr oduction, circulation, and reception and to approach it as an inter-cultural object embedded in v arious kinds of dialogues. It is usually easier to kno w the various public responses to the same image than the differ ent attitudes of those involved in the production of a photograph, but the stor y of this photograph brings into question the idea that the multiplicity of meanings deriv es solely from the reading of an image. It demonstrates instead how instability of meaning can also exist in the context of the photograph-taking and in the intentions of the people who were involved in it. Ais does not imply that we are stepping back from the image itself or seeking to consider it within a hierarchy dominated by the written wor d. Rather, we argue for the need to approach the image as an object that is inscribed in a histor v in which there are other equally meaning ful documents. Āe image is not an illustration of the text. Text and image ar e interconnected, but one is not a mirr or of the other or a differ ent way of saving the same thing. Āey must be studied together, but without the methodological and disciplinary limitations that can hinder the capture of their relationships and commonalities.

We have seen how this photograph, apart from what and who it represents, has a histor y as an object trav elling between different contexts, between what it could have been and what it became; between the different meanings that it had for different persons; between the different ideas it could suggest in different places of visuality. Both in its making and in its circulation and publication, there were multiple ways of appropriating it, but as important as the meanings that were projected onto it by those who were to be portrayed were the anxieties about how the image would be appropriated by others. Ae written documents that refer to the photograph are thus rich in "real" dialogues but also in imagined dialogues—what would our family in Goa say on seeing the photograph of a Catholic family next to Hindus? How would the Italian public see the image in the newspaper?—that were also related to cultural encounters and conflicts. "Dialogue" does not mean an equal participation of its agents in an interchange where all had the right to speak. Some interlocutors could say more

than others, or, in other cases, would say the same thing but were not listened to as much.

We have also seen how a colonial, national, or ethnic perspective on this image had to be combined with an awar eness of other kinds of differences, conflicts, and hierarchies, beyond those inherent in colonial or ethnic inequalities. Within a community that shared the same or a similar knowledge—in this case, knowledge on India—there were other confrontations beyond those that distinguished Europeans from Indians or colonizers from colonized. As we have seen in the published and unpublished dialogues that preceded and followed the making of the photograph, gender, caste, and religion became much more important than ethnicity and nationality as criteria for differentiation and even conflict.

Āer e was a strong consciousness amongst those photographed that the photograph would inevitably unite them in a way that was str onger and more enduring than life itself. Āose who finally appear ed and those who did not could share the same house, the same food, and even many knowledges, cultural references or friendships, but there was something ultimate and absolute about a photograph that denoted all the identity and cultural differences that existed but had not been mentioned. Āe idea of unification, inseparable from a photograph's power of two-dimensional representation, became latent in the final criteria that defined those who should be there: what united them, not what separated them, was their common knowledge of the languages, literatures, and cultures of India.

Both the image, which was published in a popular I talian newspaper, and the nineteenth-century manuscript letters and travel diary that refer to it are in Italy, therefore, in a nation that is not central to the historiography that concentrates on nineteenth-century colonialism. A recent nation, it was only with her unification that Italy began to be seriously interested in following other European countries' colonial paths. Its history, however, is also embedded in the context of British colonial India, a colonial context with no direct link to Italy. As an example of a globalized visual culture in which both the people being studied and the photograph that br them together resist precise identification, such an image would never have been found in the historiographical appr oaches that remain within the relationships between metropolis and colonies, in this case G reat Britain and British India, and Portugal and Portuguese India or in a nationcentred approach to colonial India. Āe pr oblems this photograph raised through both the image itself and the wider context of the pr oduction of knowledge on India in Florence or Bombay—suggest how a transnational

approach to non-European spaces that were also colonies can accord better with the mobility and cir culation of knowledge itself and the multiple forms of cultural encounters and dialogues between different historical agents, both in and outside of colonial contexts.

Bibliography

BNCF Biblioteca Nazionale Centrale Firenze (Central National Library of Florence)

- Abstract of the Society's Proceedings. 1885. Āe Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 43: xxvi-xxxiii.
- Burton, Isabel. 1879. AEI: Arabia, Egypt, India: A Narrative of Travel. London: William Mullan and Son.
- Catalogo del Museo Indiano. 1887. Florence: Le Monnier.
- Cohn, Bernard S. 1996. *Colonialism and I ts Forms of Knowledge: Åe B ritish in India*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Correspondence Angelo de Gubernatis, Letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 16 (Hornby Road, Bombay, 17 March 1879). MS. BNCF.
- —. Letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 39 (Hornby Road, Bombay, 5 November 1885).
 MS. BNCF.
- —. Letter from José Gerson da Cunha, 44 (39 Hornby Road, Bombay, 13 July 1886).
 MS. BNCF.
- —. Box 154, letter fr om Pramod Kumar Tagore (Calcutta, Pathuria Ghata Raj Bati, 18 November 1886), MS. BNCF.
- —... Box 154, letter fr om Pedro d'Alcântara (Rio de J aneiro, 5 O ctober 1886). MS. BNCF.
- Cunha, José Gerson da. 1876. Notes on the History and Antiquities of Chaul. Āe Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 33/12: 51-162.
- —... 1925. Francisco Caetano da Cunha e sua família . Nova Goa: Tip. da Casa L uso-Francesa. (Family book made of two differ ent parts: a biographical sketch of Francisco Caetano da Cunha written in 1870, follo wed by an *In Memoriam* dedicated to J osé Gerson da Cunha, compiled by his brother António Maria da Cunha.)
- De Cara, Cesare A. 1883. Errori mitologici del professore Angelo de Gubernatis. Prato: Tipografia Giachetti.
- De Gubernatis Brahmino. 1885. L'Illustrazione Italiana 12/50: 378-80.
- Dehejia, Vidya, ed. 2000. *India through the Lens: P hotography 1840-1911*. Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution.
- Desmond, Ray. 1982. Victorian India in Focus: A Selection of Early Photographs from the Collection in the India Office Library and Records. London: HMSO.
- Dharamsey, Virchand. 2012. Bhagwanlal Indraji: Āe F irst Indian Archaeologist. Multidisciplinary approaches to the past. Vadodara: Darshak Itihas Nidhi.

- Dodson, Michael S. 2007. *Orientalism, Empire and National Culture: India, 1770-1880*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Edwards, Elizabeth, ed. 1992. Anthropology and Photography, 1860-1920. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Falconer, John. 1990. Photography in Nineteenth-century India. In Ae Raj: India and the British, 1600-1947, ed. C.A. Bayly. London: National Portrait Gallery.
- ——, ed. 2001. *India: Pioneering Photographers 1850-1900.* Exhibition Catalogue. Brunei Gallery SOAS. London: Āe B ritish Library.
- —... 2002. "A Pure Labor of Love": A Publishing History of Āe People of India. In Colonialist Photography: Imag(in)ing Race and Place, ed. Eleanor M. Hight and Gary D. Sampson. London: Routledge.
- Geertz, Clifford. 1973. Āick D escription: Toward an Interpretive Āeor y of Culture. In Āe I nterpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays. New York: Basic Books: 3-30.
- Gracias, João B. Amâncio. 1901. Notícia necrológica e biográfica de Gerson da Cunha. *O Instituto*: 48/1: 479.
- Gubernatis, Angelo De. 1885. Un italiano in I ndia: Bombay, 14 Ottobre. *La Nazione*, 6 Nov.: 2.
- ----. 1885-6. Relazioni del suo viaggio nell'India, autogr. MS [II, IV, 674], BNCF.
- ----. 1886. Peregrinazioni indiane, vol. 1, India centrale. Florence: L. Niccolai.
- ----. 1887. Peregrinazioni Indiane, vol. 2, India meridionale e Seilan. Florence: L. Niccolai.
- —. 1900. Fibra: Pagine di ricordi. Rome: Forzani.
- Guha-Āakur ta, Tapati. 2004. Monuments, objects, histories: I nstitutions of art in colonial and postcolonial India. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Gutman, Judith Mara. 1982. Ar ough Indian Eyes: 19th and 20th Century Photography from India. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hight, Eleanor M., and Gary D. Sampson. 2002. Introduction: Photography, "Race," and Post-colonial Āeor y. In *Colonialist Photography: Imag(in)ing Race and P lace*, ed. Eleanor M. Hight and Gary D. Sampson. London: Routledge: 1-19.
- Inden, Ronald. 2000. Imagining India. London: Hurst.
- Āe Indian National Congress 1885-1985: An Exhibition in the British Library to Mark the Centenary of the First Meeting of the Indian National Congress at Bombay in D ecember 1885 (30 November 1985-February 1986). 1985. London: British Library.
- Jacobson, Ken. 2007. Odalisques and Arabesques: Orientalist Photography 1839-1925. London: Quaritch.
- Āe Kama Sutra of Vatsyayana, Translated from the Sanskrit. 1883. Cosmopoli: Kama Shastra Society of London and Benares.
- Āe Kama Sutra of Vatsyayana. 1990. Trans. Richard Burton and F.F. Arbuthnot, ed. W.G. Archer. London: Guild.
- Karlekar, Malavika. 2005. *Re-visioning the Past: Early Photography in Bengal 1875-1915*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Kennedy, Dane. 2005. Åe H ighly Civilized Man: Richard Burton and the Victorian World. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lovell, Mary S. 1998. A Rage to Live: A Biography of Richard and Isabel Burton. London: Abacus
- McConnachie, James. 2007. Āe Book of Lo ve: In Search of the Kamasutr a. London: Atlantic.

- Moraes, George Mark. 1967. Dr. José Gerson da Cunha, 1844-1900. Memorial Volume. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bombay.* (1964-5) 39-45.
- Pelizzari, Maria Antonella. 2003. Introduction. In Traces of India: Photography, Architecture, and the Politics of Representation, 1850-1900, ed. Maria Antonella Pelizzari. New Haven: Yale University Press: 13-8.
- ——, ed. 2003. Traces of India: Photography, Architecture, and the Politics of Representation, 1850-1900. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Perez, Rosa Maria, Susana Sardo, and Joaquim Pais de Brito, eds. 1997. *Stories of Goa*. Exhibition Catalogue. Lisbon: Museu Nacional de Etnologia.
- Pinney, Christopher. 2008. *Āe Coming of P hotography in India*. London: Āe B ritish Library.

 ——. 1997. *Camera Indica: Āe Social L ife of Indian Photographs*. London: Reaktion.
- Report of the First Indian National Congress Held at Bombay on the 28th, 29th and 30th December 1885. 1885. Lucknow: G.P. Varma & Brothers.
- Roberts, Mary. 2007. Intimate Outsiders: Åe H arem in Ottoman and Orientalist Art and Travel Literature. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Ryan, James R. 1997. Picturing Empire: Photography and the Visualization of the British Empire. London: Reaktion.
- Said, Edward W. 1978. Orientalism. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- ----. 1993. Culture and Imperialism. London: Chatto and Windus.
- Sanches, Manuela Ribeiro. 2006. Introdução. In *Portugal não é um país pequeno: Contar o império na pós-colonialidade*, ed. Manuela Ribeiro Sanches. Lisbon: Cotovia.
- Schwartz, Joan M., and James R. Ryan, eds. 2003. *Picturing Place and the Geographical Imagination*. London: I.B. Tauris.
- Tagg, John. 1988. Āe Burden of Representation: Essays on Photographies and Histories. London: Macmillan.
- Tapati Guha-Āakur ta, Monuments, Objects, Histories. Institutions of Art in Colonial and Postcolonial India (New York: Columbia University Press, 2004).
- Āomas, Nicholas. 1994. Colonialism's Culture: Anthropology, Travel and Government. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tromans, Nicholas, ed. 2008. Āe Lure of the Orient: British Orientalist Painting. London: Tate.
- Vicente, Filipa Lowndes. 2009. Outros Orientalismos. A Índia entre Florença e Bombaim 1860-1900. Lisbon: Imprensa de Ciências Sociais.
- 2010a. "Āe G oan historian José Gerson da Cunha at the Bombay B ranch of the Royal Asiatic Society (1870-1900), *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Mumbai* (Mumbai: Āe Asiatic S ociety of Mumbai), vol. 83, 2009-10, pp. 128-136.
- —. 2010b. "Orientalismos periféricos? O historiador goês José Gerson da Cunha (Bombaim, 1878)". *Ler História*, 58, pp. 27-46
- ——. 2010c. "Orientalism in the margins. Āe inter est in Indian Antiquity in nineteenth century Italy", in *Res Antiquitatis. Journal of Ancient History*, Vol. 1, 11-37.
- —. 2005b. "A Arte sem História. Mulheres Artistas (Séculos XVI-XVII), Artis. Revista do Instituto de História da Arte da Faculdade de Letras de Lisboa, nº 4, pp. 205-242.
- ——. 2004. "Exposições coloniais na Índia portuguesa e na Índia britânica (séculos XIX e XX)", *Oriente*, nº 8 (April), pp. 70-88.

Visram, Rozina. 2002. Asians in Britain: 400 Years of History. London: Pluto.

Wagoner, Phillip B. 2003. P re-colonial Intellectuals and the P roduction of Colonial Knowledge. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 45/4: 783-814.

Xavier, Ângela Barreto. 2005. David contra Golias na Goa seiscentista e setecentista. Escrita identitária e colonização interna. *Ler História* 49: 107-43.

Yajnik, Indulal. 1950. Shyamaji Krishnavarma: Life and Times of an Indian Revolutionary. Bombay: Lakshmi.

Županov, Ines G. 1999. Disputed Mission. Jesuit Experiments and Brahmanical Knowledge in Seventeenth-century India. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.